## Downtown Livability Initiative



## Planning Commission Study Session

February 10, 2016



## Where We Are Now

## PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT



## Sequence of Topics

| Targeted Timing | Topics \& Milestones |
| :---: | :--- |
| 2016 Q1 | - Walkability / streetscape standards (1/13) |
|  | - Neighborhood identity (1/13) |
|  | - Urban form $(2 / 10$ \& 3/9) |
|  | - Transportation modeling $(2 / 10)$ |
|  | - Stakeholder Exhibits \& Open House (3/9) |
| 2016 Q2 | - Open space |
|  | - Pedestrian Corridor |
|  | - Incentives technical analysis, amenities list |
| - Design guidelines package |  |
| 2016 Q3 | - Incentive calibration and weighting <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> - Subarea Plan changes <br> - SEPA documentation <br> - Public hearing <br> - Finalize Planning Commission recommendations to Council |

## Tonight's Study Session

- Incentive Zoning - Council Principles
- Transportation Analysis Relating to CAC Recommendations
- Develop preliminary Commission height \& form direction for:
$\square$ Applicable Downtown-wide recommendations (for items such as tower spacing, floor plates, podium height, and shade/shadow)
$\square$ Mixed-Use (DT-MU) District
$\square$ "Deep B" portion of the Mixed-Use (DT-MU) District
$\square$ Civic Center portion of the Mixed-Use (DT-MU) District
- Commission direction on potential study of new ideas relating to height and form


## Transportation Analysis related to potential height and density changes

## Downtown Land Use Forecast



## Downtown Land Use Forecast

## Population \& Jobs in Downtown: 2010 \& 2030 Forecast



## DTP Downtown Employment Change

Including Medical Institution District

## DTP Downtown Population Change



Population
Change by TAZ:
2010 to 2030
Downtown Transportation
Plan Update

## Legend

Change is calculated using 2010 and 2030 figures.Transportation Analysis Zone Number (TAZ)

## Population Change

$\square$ No Change

$\square 1$ to 250251 to 500 501 to 1,000 over 1,000 Area Boundaries


## Downtown Population + Employment 2010



|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |

Meydenbauer Bay


## Downtown Population + Employment 2030



## Average Annual Weekday Traffic Volume





## AAWT 1990-2010 with 2011-2013 Added



## DLI Potential Land Use Changes



# Private 

Vehicle Mobility

## 2030 Baseline + Build Roadway Capacity Projects



# Compares LOS for DTP and DLI Land Use Distribution 

| Downtown-wide | 2030 DTP <br> Scenario | 2030 DLI <br> Scenario | Total <br> Difference | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hourly Vehicle Volume | 117,938 | 116,961 | -977 | $-0.8 \%$ |
| Average Vehicle Delay <br> (sec) | 49.2 | 45.3 | -3.9 | $-7.9 \%$ |
| Level-of-Service | LOS D | LOS D | -- | -- |
| Total Vehicle Delay <br> (hours) | 1611 | 1,472 | -139 | $-8.6 \%$ |

## DTP Scenario

## Downtown Livability (DLI) Scenario

2030 Average Vehicle Delay at Downtown Intersections
Based on DTP Scenario


2030 Average Vehicle Delay at Downtown Intersections
Based on DLI Scenario


See Handout

## Preliminary Height \& Form Discussion

## Height and Form <br> Analysis \& Recommendations

DOWNTOWN - WIDE

- Tower Spacing
- Floor Plate Size
- Connected Floor Plates
- Wind/Shade/ Shadow
- Tripartite - Base Middle Top


## DISTRICT SPECIFIC

for initial 3 areas

- FAR
- Building Height
- Overlay "C"


## Staff is asking for preliminary Planning Commission direction regarding tonight's Height and Form

 Recommendations
## Height and Form - Principles from CAC

The CAC used the following principles to help guide their work on potential height and form changes.

- The additional height or density would result in a better urban design outcome than current zoning.
- Continue to distinguish the special market niche played by Downtown.
- Help deliver additional amenities that enhance the livability and character of Downtown.
- Address any impacts that may result from the additional height or density (e.g. via design guidelines to address public views, shadows, tower spacing, and others).
- Continue to provide for appropriate transitions between Downtown and adjoining residential neighborhoods, while promoting better and more complementary linkages.


## Height and Form - Relationship to Livability

## How does building height and form relate to livability?

- Opportunity for more light and air between buildings by allowing additional height
- Opportunity for more ground-level open space
- Ability to promote variability in building heights
- Ability to reinforce district identity
- Potential for additional height or FAR to add "lift" to incentive system
- Opportunity to create a more distinctive skyline
- Encourage more interesting and memorable architecture
- Potential to add density around light rail transit investment


## Potential Redevelopment Sites (by 2030)



## Direction from CAC:

- Address any impacts that may result from additional height or density (e.g. via design guidelines to address public views, shadows, tower spacing, and others).
- Ensure permeability from I- 405 and public views


## Staff Analysis and Recommendations:

- Supports CAC direction
- $80^{\prime}$ separation at closest points above $40^{\prime}$
- All floors above current maximum height will be subject to additional tower spacing and diminishing (reduced) floor plate requirements
- Departures considered for per "Tower Spacing" in Elements of Urban Form
- Small site exceptions
- Tower steps back 20 ' from PL above podium roof
- Tower steps back 15 ' from back of sidewalk above podium roof Small site $=$ A single project limit $</=30,000$ SF.


## Tower Spacing

Increased Tower Separation from 40' to 80'
*applicable to buildings over 70' in height
Combined with:

- Increase in building height
- Maintain existing FAR

Best Practices


Example: MU - Residential

## Downtown - Wide

Tower Spacing


Skyviews

## Downtown - Wide

## Tower Spacing



## Recommendations:

$\square$ Tower separation applied: 80' separation above $40^{\prime}$ in building height.
$\square$ Departure allowed for design excellence

- Fluid and slender forms
- Unique forms

Separation greater than $80^{\prime}$ required for pursuit of additional height and FAR
Departure from maximum floor plate shall increase tower separation (Ex. Floor Plate Increase of 10\% over max. = Tower separation increase of 80 feet $+10 \%$ )
Where $80^{\prime}$ separation is not feasible a site may not be appropriate for multiple towers
Exceptions provided for sites under 30,000 sf


## Downtown - Wide

## Tower Spacing

## Fluid/Slender/Unique Forms



Parallel Facades


Curved Facades


Angled/Irregular Facades

## Downtown - Wide

## Tower Spacing

## Cumulative Impact



## Downtown - Wide

Tower Spacing

## Small Sites

Sites under 30,000 sf

## Recommendations:

Stepback from street

- Tower shall stepback 15' from back of sidewalk
$\square$ Stepback from internal property lines
- Tower shall setback 20' from any public space or internal property line



## Tower Façade Articulation

## Direction from CAC:

- For buildings with wider facades (>120 140 ft ) require substantial articulation Staff Analysis and Recommendations: Supports CAC direction Substantial articulation such as offsets of building façade will be addressed in Design Guidelines


Downtown Wide Recommendation
20\% floor plate
reduction above
existing max.
building height


## Downtown - Wide

## Connected Floor Plates

Land Use Code 20.25A.020.B. 3

- Allows buildings under 70' in height to exceed maximum floor plate size through connecting floor plates
- Create a more contiguous form
- Allow for safe and efficient building exiting patterns.
- ".....may include the floor area of units or other building uses."
- Occurs on no more than three floor levels above 40'
- Results in a building mass that features
 separate and distinct building elements.
- Cost efficient


## Downtown - Wide <br> Connected Floor Plates



## Downtown - Wide

## Connected Floor Plates

## Consequences

- Overly large massings
- Open space is internalized
- Circumvent the purpose of FAR limitations



## Floor Plate Size - Connected Floor Plates

## Recommendations

- Two Paths

- Address overall scale of massing
- Reinforce the intent of 'separate and distinct building elements'
- Modify the connecting floors quantity
- Remove allowance of habitable floor area within the connection

- Offer dimensional guidance to enhance appearance of separate buildings
- Improve human/building scale relationship
- Reduce scale of massing


## Downtown - Wide

## Connected Floor Plates

## Recommendations for Small Sites (internal courtyard buildings)

- "Connection" shall be between $3^{\prime}-0$ " and $7^{\prime}-0$ " in depth and a minimum $7.5 \%$ of façade length
- "Connection" shall extend from grade to roofline of building
- Enhance distinct and separate elements through transition of building materials
- Floor area of units or office space not permitted
- Habitable space not permitted
- Space only allowed for exiting
- Portals and entries to be allowed as part of the "connection"


[^0]

Proposed

Recommendations for Typical Sites

- Separation that establishes an aesthetic of distinctly separate buildings
- Enhance modulation
- Entrances
- Stoops
- Recesses
- Protrusions



## Downtown - Wide

## Connected Floor Plates



## Wind/Shade/Shadow

## Direction from CAC:

- Maximize sunlight to through-block connections
- Address any impacts that may result from additional height or density (e.g. via design guidelines to address public views, shadows, tower spacing, and others).


## Staff Analysis and Recommendations:



- Supports CAC direction
- Use tower stepbacks, canopies, marquees, awnings, and green roofs to deflect wind
- Use tower separation for maximize light and air
- Orient the shortest facades in the north/south to mitigate impacts to mitigate wind and shade impacts at the pedestrian level


## Downtown - Wide

## Wind/Shade/Shadow

## Recommendations

- Orient façade with shortest length north-south
- Require any public space earning FAR Amenity Incentive System to points to conduct shade/shadow study
- Impact during peak usage
- $11 \mathrm{am}-2 \mathrm{pm}$



## Wind/Shade/Shadow

## Recommendations

- Orient façade with shortest length north-south
- Provide stepbacks on all facades oriented towards public space



Shortest façade: North - South

## Downtown - Wide

## Wind/Shade/Shadow

## Recommendations

- Provide one of the following elements to mitigate down draft and wind speed
A. Green roof
B. Parapet with minimum height of $4^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$
C. Stepbacks at 40' and 80'



## Downtown - Wide

## Wind/Shade/Shadow

## Recommendations

- Provide one of the following on all facades facing the public realm
A. Canopies
B. Arcades
C. Marquees



## Downtown - Wide Tripartite (Base, Middle, Top)

## Direction from CAC:

- Add direction on articulation and massing to emphasize tripartite
- Continue strong emphasis on ground-level differentiation with building articulation, windows, materials, etc., quality public realm and human scale
- Build off $>15 \% / 15 \mathrm{ft}$ rule to accommodate architectural integration of mech. equip. or interesting roof form


## Staff Analysis and Recommendations:

- Supports CAC direction
- Podium height limited to $45^{\prime}$ at top of podium roof
- Use "Entry or other Major Point of Interest" criteria from Building Sidewalk ROW Design Guidelines
- Use "Ground Floor Frontage" criteria from Building
 Sidewalk ROW Design Guidelines


## Downtown - Wide Tripartite (Base, Middle, Top)

## Recommendations

- Maximum podium height of 45 ' to top of roof


Q \& A


## Downtown - Mixed Use (DT-MU)

## FLOOR AREA RATIO

## CAC Direction:

- Consider up to 5.0 res/nonres


## Staff Analysis and Recommendations:

- Supports CAC


## BUILDING HEIGHT

## CAC Direction:

- Consider up to $300^{\prime}$ res \& 200' nonres
- Use DG's for public views, shadows, tower spacing,
 transition and effects on ped level


## Staff Analysis and Recommendations:

- Supports CAC
- Require open space, more tower spacing, reduced floor plates if exceeding current max
- Eliminate 15 ' height limit for mech equip. Rely on Screening \& Location criteria (early wins)


## Downtown - Mixed Use (DT-MU) w/ "C" Overlay

## PERIMETER DESIGN DISTRICT

## CAC Direction:

- Not addressed


## Staff Analysis and Recommendation:

- The "C" overlay of the Perimeter Design District has the same dimensional requirements as the underlying "MU".
- The Code stipulates max FAR and height may be reached by providing neighborhood services (food, retail, personal services, etc.) These uses are now being amply provided
 without this criteria based on market demand. This Code provision was adopted at a time when Downtown was losing its traditional neighborhood services. In the interim years, the Downtown residential population has grown to 11,000 people and the market is provide a wealth of neighborhood services on its own
- Eliminate "C" overlay. Rely on DG's and market demand. Height and form criteria covered in general MU district criteria.


## Downtown - Mixed Use (DT-MU)

## Nonresidential



## Downtown - Mixed Use (DT-MU)

## Residential



## Downtown - Mixed Use (DT-MU) Civic Center

## FLOOR AREA RATIO

CAC Direction:

- Consider up to 6.0 res/nonres
- Mitigate for tower design and separation, permeability from I-405, connectivity with Wilburton, ped env. and local traffic


## Staff Analysis/Recommendation:

- Supports CAC



## BUILDING HEIGHT

## CAC Direction:

- Consider up to $350^{\prime}$ residential/nonresidential
- Use DG's for public views, shadows, tower spacing, transition and effects on ped level


## Staff Analysis:

- Supports CAC
- Require open space, more tower spacing, reduced floor plates if exceeding current max
- Eliminate $15^{\prime}$ height limit for mech equip. Rely on Screening \& Location criteria (early wins)


## Downtown - Mixed Use (DT-MU) Civic Center

## FLOOR PLATES

## CAC Direction:

- Consider opportunities to expand floorplate allowances where topography drops away towards I-405


## Staff Analysis and Recommendations:

- Supports CAC direction
- Use current Code opportunity to average floor plates. For floor above 40 ' the gross floor plate per floor may be averaged unless the "diminishing floor plate*"
 alternative is used
- As long as light, air, permeability from the freeway and effect on pedestrians is mitigated
* In 01, 02, MU, and OLB floor plates above 40' may be 30,000 sf if floors with conditions for above being diminished by 20\%)


## Downtown - "Deep B"

## FLOOR AREA RATIO

CAC Direction:

- No change


## Staff Analysis/Recommendation:

- Supports CAC


## BUILDING HEIGHT

## CAC Direction:

- Consider up to $160^{\prime}-240^{\prime}$ w/ 200' average residential only
- Use DG's for public views, shadows, tower spacing, transition and effects on ped level Staff Analysis/Recommendation:
- Supports CAC
- Require open space, more tower spacing, reduced floor plates if exceeding current max
- Single tower height limited to 160 '
- Multiple building projects using additional height require a Development Agreement


## Downtown - "Deep B"



Q \& A


## New Ideas for Potential Study w/ Commission Direction




[^0]:    Existing

